



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

T/H

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,256	12/02/2005	Benoit Regnard	17170/010001	8566
22511	7590	06/12/2007	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			GARNER, ONDRIA L	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2834		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/12/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/532,256	REGNARD ET AL.
	Examiner Ondria Garner	Art Unit 2834

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ondria Garner. (3) Michael Leman.  
 (2) Karl Tamai. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 25 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ishida US 2001/0022477 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KARL TAMAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In reference to claim 25, applicant argues that Ishida doesn't teach support for the phase connector and that there is a difference between an electrical and mechanical connection. The Examiner disagrees in that an electrical connection is a mechanical connection and is therefor "support." Examiner suggests that the applicant narrows the claim to clearly define the phase connector support. In reference to claim 27, the applicant argues that the phase connector doesn't extend from the cover. Examiner disagrees and suggests that Ishida teaches, teaches in figure 2, the phase connector extending from the cover.